

Myth and Reality of German contradiction on Migration: Lower and upper classes or status? Lower and superior “races”? The German intolerance with racism

Gaston Ebua

Zusammenfassung

Looking at the missing black identity links and the construction of Blackness by the German academia, this text deals with migration, mobility, “multikulti” and identity issues of the German State doctrines with “The State of Law”, “Whiteness versus Migration”, overall with contradictions in a constructed black and white Germany. Overt and covert racism is the idea that migration applies to people in Germany because they are either black or not white enough. Answering the question: How Germany shapes human backgrounds racistly into white and black differently using the label “immigration background” as new racism of a whitevolk Leitkultur (leading culture).

Remark: I want to point out that this text will be loved by some in it's own right. I have been informed that publishing this text will result in me not being likeable. This is because it may be at some points dealing with pejorative taboos as well as practices and attitudes that some will find disturbing what I have to say. I want to thank the editors for their courage in making this possible. This writing is based on facts, documented experiences and other issues that stand true. Everything here are things most people already know about For everyone to live in a society of true equity, justice and freedom this must be said. My advise to the reader is to put aside all patterns of thought before reading this text.

Until lions learn to write their own history,
tales of the lions will continue to glorify the hunter.
African Proverb¹

Biological Truth and Lies on Migration in Germany. Lilly white, blue eyed and ultra blond haired baby by Black African N_Word Mother and N_Word Father?

When in July 2010 the BBC reported expert genetic doctors all over Europe have been left scientifically confused because a Black African woman² and a Black African man without any scientifically known “white ancestry” gave birth to an overwhelmingly blue eyed and blond haired baby girl in London,³ there was no news on any German media network. I wondered how this was possible. Does this child have a migration background (in German: Migrationshintergrund)? Paradoxically German Law does not define the first German Blood but it has been established through State assumptive doctrines. Therefore since N.....s produced blue eyed blond haired babies this got some people to think how deep Europe, and Germany for instance, may be a no “white man/woman”-land, except based on racism.

By de-constructing the state of the art, I hope to eradicate the academic built and state enforced racism and prejudices on the topic of migration. First of all Germany is not in any position to talk about migration; instead Germany is creating undocumented individuals, who are held in bondage under the discourse of migration. This discourse does not recognize that the government and its bureaucracy are responsible for the existing social circumstances. In order to understand this, one must understand the sociology of racial hierarchy created by the German State’s promotion of whiteness and the socio-political, culturally covert promotion of race, inequality and racism by separating tolerance from racism, for instance when discussing migration as a topic. Therefore the discourse on migration is an expression of racism. The migration discourse in Germany has the problem of racial bias. It is a

¹ Hansib, Thacmho. 2005. *Power Writers and the Struggle Against Slavery*. Hertfort.

² We have to say that we did not choose to be called with black written in capital or not capital letters. For the sake of understanding, we put it into the way the academia forced on us.

³ <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-10697682> (January 2011).

phenomenon projected on black people. By black I mean non-white as defined by White racists; “black people” itself is a construction of white racists or racialists, who have achieved the dominating and colonizing empires of our world today. It is important to note that the question or use of the counter term “people of color” is only an attempt to derail from the reality that White racist groups regard everyone who-is Non-White as Black. Calling them Black is seen to be the last of the racist measuring scale, thereby still implying less or non-human, like the derogatorily used term “Nigger”. Because of this derogatory situation other non-White groups, by preferring not to be strongly linked to black Africans, identify themselves as “people of color” in order to be closer to white. The Whites do not want them and they, the people of color, do not want to be black. In consequence it is a general societal view tofrom white dominant groups to argue that migration discourse within a German context must only apply to ‘white’ groups who are considered not to have and cannot have a so-called “migration background”. It is something that ideologically and racistly only applies to people who have “Black” skin. This means all people with black skin are to be Non-Germans and others of white skin are Germans. Even a White French or Australian national will not be considered to have a “migration background” since the white groups see themselves as racial equals. One reason for this is that we fail to understand how the dominance of the state society is and always has been influencing generations though ideology of races and within ineffective state policy processes to counter this. This is happening by educational processesfor instance (see UN Human Rights Committee critics on German schools).

The nation state is a social construction, it is not natural, but nevertheless scientifically and officially taken for granted. The institutions we rely on take it for granted. It is important to know that documentation, bureaucracy and the administrative policies in Germany have in many ways been crafted to create a historiology of alienating Black presence and Black roles in Germany at all levels, administratively, academically, politically, and otherwise which maintained a certain level of racial inequality. This principally and mostly scientifically means that only what is defined by the “Whites” is for instance scientific.⁴

⁴ For this argument also see: Kilomba, Grada. 2010. *Plantation Memories*. Unrast Verlag, Hamburg, p. 28.

Intellectual Discursive Racism promoted by German Publishers

The story of a German publisher accused of counterfeiting Black historian and author Sylvia Serbin's book on "Queens of Africa and Heroines of the Diaspora" is an example. The African Courier magazine reported that the German publishing houses removed and rewrote passages of the book, without the permission of the author living in France. Her thoughts, reasoning and ideas expressed in the French version, "were completely distorted in the German version. Racist and colonial misconceptions about the women leaders she had taken great sweat to put correctly in her original work were twisted into captivating racist falsehood rather than historical truth"⁵.

Although there is currently a high court ruling in Paris on the German publishing house for counterfeiting the book in her name, the book is still circulating in Germany. The court was not in the position to prohibit the circulation of the book in German speaking countries. Moreover it is reported that other sources, she did not even use in her French version, were added.⁶

Another incredible example is a book written by a self pronounced Gay White South African by name Edwin Cameron titled "Witness to AIDS". Although the title obviously has nothing to do with Africa, the German publisher has it connected to Africa as if AIDS is found only in Africa: "Tod in Afrika – Mein Leben gegen Aids". On other words the German publisher gave it a title to read 'Death in Africa – My life against AIDS'.⁷ To raise migration awareness a counter brainwashing needs to take place. To understand migration one should critically approach topics or issues raised by so called intellectuals, as well as politicians on the issue of "Black" peoples' civilization and their history in Germany. In order to approach concepts of migration in a balanced fashion one must be self reflective on racism and our pattern of thought as far as Black Civilization has been concerned. This is to avoid fueling further already existing discourses and ideologies with cultural and race bias. Racism develops a continuous formation of constructing racist white ethnic solidarity. Thanks

⁵ See: Mathias Vaictorien Ntep. 2007/08. In: *The African Courier*. Vol. 10, p. 40.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Cameron, Edwin. 2007. *Tod in Afrika. Mein Leben gegen Aids*. C. H. Beck Publisher, München.

to self determination and strength of Black races or groups in Europe the racism of white ethnic solidarity becomes apparent for the hierarchical structure is then deconstructed. According to scholars like S. Castle and A. Davidson these Black races groups are categorized as immigrant groups and considered non-human due to a racist process, which results in the domination by white groups. Therefore Black groups, under this concept of “migration”, cannot be seen and are not being seen as humans in Germany, in Europe and in the world. As a typical example in Germany this has led on the one hand to the construction of racism and on the other hand to the development of racist movements like the NPD (German Nationalist Party), the only group publicly known as racist, but not being the only racist group. This kind of development is characterized by the culturalization of racist ideologies. Racism is initially based on ideas of biological superiority and inferiority as one aspect among others, meaning in this example the superiority of the white group (Castle and Davidson 2000: 80). By believing in an inevitability of cultural conflict between different groups exemplified in Huntingtons’ conception of culture (“The Clash of Civilizations”), ethnic group difference becomes naturalized and a certain culture is made inherently superior in a given set of conditions. The so-called German “Leitkultur” (meaning German White leading culture) white folk culture has become the most appropriate culture in the face of racial beliefs and stereotypes. Groups with differing practices are not only to remain inferior but are not tolerated and have to be integrated or assimilated in their “inferior” status.

The author and German politician Schönbohm wrote that Blacks are still inferior to Whites in the whole world despite of the election of a “Black man” as president of the United States of America).⁸ In 2010 another elitist German politician Sarrazin wrote a book with the subtitle “How we are risking our land” (“Wie wir unser Land aufs Spiel setzen”). I questioned the meaning of that “we”, which could not mean anyone of any group other than white according to this politician turned author. We have to address Mr. Sarrazin using white German racist discourse, but not as has been done for example by Frauke Hunfeld in the Stern, who criticized Mr. Sarrazin but herself used the same racist German discourse without pointing it out to be a racist word.

⁸ Schönbohm, Jörg. 2009. *Politische Korrektheit – Das Schlachtfeld der Tugendwächter*. Manuscriptum, Leipzig, p. 18.

This is for instance her referring to “Negermusik”⁹, which is due to the racist ideology found against Black Africans in the German language¹⁰. Even the critics of racial discourses join the white supremacy semantics in describing black Africans, the supposedly known “lowest of the black races”, therefore both ways we are trapped into the intellectual discursive racism.

German Migration as Military and Business Interests

There are several aspects to be considered when dealing with the notion of migration, but from my point of view three structural aspects are most relevant. First, migration is only real for military and businesses of the ruling classes. The German government increasingly deploys its army overseas as so-called ‘helpers’. Thereby many are maneuvered not to see what is actually going on. Recently the former German president Horst Köhler resigned after having said that the German army is fighting overseas in Afghanistan for business interests. He has been hushed and forced out of office by the Germans for coming out straight with the truth. So if the Germans wanted the truth, they should have demonstrated for him to stay. Discussions on migration should rather apply for the German army migrating to other countries armed and for their own mercenary benefits at the expenses of the victims. These are the potential migrants, refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented or nationals (Blacks and white) – whatever we might call it – of tomorrow. Therefore, from my perspective the army respectively other invaders (including, the NGOs, tourists, etc.) are migrant on the one hand. On the other hand within the racist discourse of migration the victims (the survivors) are migrants. The problem is that it is only the survivor, who does not have any choice, is seen as migrant by the invaders. This is the crucial mis-perception of migration as a discourse or what it might represent.

In order to understand some issues of migration in the German context, secondly the role of the nation state, its administration and police system and how it creates undocumented people in Germany needs to be taken into

⁹ This is being racist not only for using the term Neger, but also for bringing up the fundamentally racist idea of blacks „having music in the blood“ and being there for the White’s entertainment.

¹⁰ Hunfeld 2010: In: Stern Nr. 36/02. 09. 2010, pp. 60–62.

account. Creating un-documentation is a primary solution to migration by Germany to keep people under a legal hierarchy, categorizing them, forcefully, as citizens, migrants, as refugees and asylum seekers or illegals, the latter being in a position of social death or revolt in camps under restricted living conditions. The term migration is a term that is only recently being used by the German government (since the 2005 so-called “Zuwanderungsgesetz” – first time Immigration Law). Before, the government has been trying to scrape off the fundamental practice of the right to asylum off the administrative books. The administration diverts the discourse on migration without ever referring to the freedom of movement. It alienates from reality. No one becomes undocumented through any conscious choice of their own. It is the government, the German government which is responsible that there are persons and even babies to whom they either deny registration or only provide them with documents being considered legal in one part of Germany and then illegal in another part of Germany. This is done by the phenomenon of the pass law, the Residenzpflichtgesetz. Not being considered legal in another district may lead to punishment and deportation – including deportation within the district, from police to police imprisonment and punishment by heavy fines. Through the deforming prism of racist migration discourse we are not able to realize that these people become citizens, migrants, refugees, undocumented or asylum seekers through no conscious choice of their own. People however take these kinds of legal markers, which produce social categories, for granted and see them as natural labels.

An example of this naturalization of legal markers within the academic world is a working paper of Annett Fleischer¹¹, a case study on the situation of so called “illegal immigrants”. The author addresses true stereotypes of asylum seekers or migrants but she is short in clearly connecting socio-political causes that have led to the naming or labeling of her experimental subjects or the structural bias between the Global North and the Global South. This “scientific” study is also obviously the articulation of her own subjective experience and knowledge, colonial clichés of her hierarchical interaction with the so called southerners (Südländer). She ignores how the so called “illegal immigrants” are kept in structural ignorance and in bondage. Thereby she is covertly

¹¹ Fleischer, Annett. 2007. *Familienbildungsprozesse als Möglichkeit der Legalisierung: Zur Situation Kameruner MigrantInnen in Deutschland*. MPIDR Working Paper WP-2007-011.

fostering incentives that would both overtly as well as covertly feed the racist supremacist stereotypes of the society in a scientific mask. By failing to identify the structural and socio-political connections existing between the northern and the southern hemispheres, the whole study ends up in the racist view of culture. This is further so because it does not draw attention to how the social as well as the political and psychological divisions are structured and how they cross cut each other. The question of how northern and southern inequalities, racial, social, gender, and class differences influence our realities is not taken into account. Psychological divisions are for example media stereotypes feeding the belief that 'a third world child' for example is poor, Non-White and implying at the same time all 'non third world' children cannot be Black but must be White. This is what cements patterns of thought and exacerbates stereotypes.

Allow me for the third point to come back to the term migrant created by the already mentioned unbridled acts of aggression. Initially the Geneva Convention was principally designed to protect people against persecution for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion in Germany. It is important to note that non-white folks at the time of implementation of this convention were colonial subjects existing under the race doctrine. They were defined legally as a sub-human groups while struggling ever since against the legal, political and structural settings that defined them in the eyes of the others as inferior beings in Germany as well as overseas. Even under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) they were a sub human group. The very governments that put into place the UDHR were basically white European nations. Knowing that for example at the same time the racist Apartheid regime in South Africa was being practiced as a democratic system, it goes without saying that this declaration was racistly motivated and for the whites only in the first place¹². In 1949 Europe parallel Europeanized the UN Universal Declaration by adding the word "European" to establish the European Convention on Human Rights in order to undermine its universality- that allegedly guarantees equality of all races and to be a legal binding fact. Consequently Blacks are inextricably excluded from the human family. As far as I am concerned human rights are

¹² In the same week that the Universal Declaration was declared, America dropped the nuclear bombs on Japan for racist motives.

not necessary to be enshrined in any book or signed by any government.¹³ Furthermore for me human rights are rights that can be given or taken away by any government or nation state. This is why people have always struggled and are still struggling to be seen as human beings and treated equally as such. This is why obligations have been set for States or to fulfill Human Rights norms independently of the above premises under the UN System of human rights under the so-called UN Treaty Bodies for example with the possibility under a set of conditions to monitor governments with a individual complaint mechanism beyond national law.

The 28 July 1951 Geneva Convention treaty signed by the German government for the protection of refugees, which was a constitutional order in Germany and is part of administrative law (§ 51 Ausländergesetz) is being used to address human rights issues in German courts and administrations. It is due to racism that the above mentioned Convention can neither be found in the index nor the content pages of German version of the book "Menschenrechte Dokumente und Deklarationen" by the Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung of 2002. I can only conclude here that this book, which carries almost all human rights declarations and treaties, does not explain, when it actually should, why the constitution cannot be separated from the refugee convention. But it also expresses the nations dislike for the label refugee since it reflects the recreation of the German nation state itself as a result of the persecution of people for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion. In fact the government further creates a myth to prevent people from realizing how the government's programs have always been promoting racial hierarchy in Germany. This ostracizes people and therefore led both to covert and overt racist attacks. This is what is experienced with the racist police and Neo-Nazi brutalities like the murderous chaining of both hands and feet and burning to death of Oury Jalloh by German police in a German police cell in Dessau and many more brutalities against Blacks.

¹³ The human right is the notion making me a human being no matter if it is written down in any book.

Residenzpflicht: Racial Ostracizing of Blacks as a Racial Group and their Economic Exploitation in Germany

The government claims that the Residenzpflicht was a law for asylum seekers that affected every asylum seeker. The reality is that white asylum seekers can hide from the law while Black ones are direct targets for police control and abuses as well as Neo-Nazi attacks. If residenzpflicht is a law for both black and white asylum seekers then the police has to control every body even the so-called German citizens and others since no one carries a visible label of being an asylum seeker under the residenzpflicht except those with black skin. This as we will see later results in “Rassentrennung” racial separation, racial discrimination and intolerance which is forbidden. Here you can see that the German government may talk about migration and makes one think such as freedom of movement for human beings, but it does not practice freedom of movement as an equal human right. They argue that asylum seekers were poor and were beggars who should be locked up in camps. According to the law maker these camps are meant mainly to reduce government expenditure and to control their movement within a district to avoid cashing social benefits from camp to camp. However, this argument does not hold true when knowing about the German centralized discriminating Information Technology (IT). The police system would tell instantaneously where everyone is registered and every other information concerning that person. This argument or any other argument should not infringe with the violation of the natural and fundamental human right. Germany is therefore not in the position to talk about migration as long as such a law exists. This law is specifically made to criminalize Blacks, as Whites can hide under the law for being taken as German Whites. That is to say, black people are automatically immigrants also “defined as illegal immigrant. If immigrants are illegal, they are lawless; if they are lawless, they are criminal; if they are criminal, they are dangerous; if they are dangerous, the nation has to protect itself from them, and has the right to be hostile to them, or even eliminate them”¹⁴. From this, one can also analyze the fascistic aspect of the Residenzpflicht on Blacks as pointed out by Stoffels M. as being identical to the Ausländerpolizeiverordnung of 1938 by the Nazi regime.¹⁵

¹⁴ See: Kilomba, Grada. 2010. *Plantation Memories*. Unrast Verlag, Hamburg, p. 77.

¹⁵ Stoffels, Michael 2002, pp.159–163. <http://www.grundrechte-report.de/2002/inhalt/de...assistische-auflage-fuer-auslaender> (January 2011).

Therefore with the Black racial group constituting the constructed lowest position in the German social strata, the black groups suffer the restriction of movement without crime, are considered criminal, which results in the ostracization and inhuman deportation. Even a murderer has more rights than an asylum seeker in Germany, because he or she has the right to claim that they did not do it, getting the presumption of innocence. In the case of the Black African asylum seeker the premise of the restriction of movement establishes that an asylum seeker is found guilty already before the act of moving. Thus structural racist xenophobia is promoted by those who uphold the law and the jurisprudence – police and judges¹⁶. This can be seen also in the rate of conviction of blacks through the *Residenzpflicht* which makes a difference with Germany as of date being the only country with such a law in the European Union. This law is a violation of General Comment No. 27: Freedom of movement (Art. 12) paragraph 5 of the United Nations ICCPR signed by Germany which states “The right to move freely relates to the whole territory of a State, including all parts of federal States. . .”.¹⁷

In addition to the above mentioned, there is political as well as economic exploitation by the bureaucratic exercise of *Residenzpflicht*. The ban of freedom of movement is sanctioned by a fee up to 2 500 € and one year imprisonment with unpaid work in the form of servitude¹⁸. So besides paying fees when applying for permission to leave, which have unfixed fees and are not paid back in case of rejection, Asylum seekers are not only unjustified restricted in their freedom of movement but furthermore economically exploited by the German administration, which sustains the social budget and it is only a matter of time until other European countries will do the same especially in the time of economic crisis, which is fuelling the hate for the Africans.

¹⁶ National and international law and court ruling against *Residenzpflicht* shows the racism of the juridical system, see Protocoll of Strassbourg court ruling titled: *Residenzpflicht* Archives: Protokoll – Verhandlung im *Residenzpflicht-Prozeß* gegen Sunny (2000/2003). <http://www.thevoiceforum.org/arch.protokoll> (January 2011).

¹⁷ General Comment No. 27: Freedom of movement (Art. 12): 02/11/1999, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.9, General Comment No. 27. (General Comments). <http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28Symbol%29/6c76e1b8ee1710e380256824005a10a9?Opendocument> (29.04. 2011).

¹⁸ See for Example the court case of Komi in Verwaltungsgericht Halle, reported by Pro Asyl: http://www.proasyl.de/fileadmin/proasyl/fm_redakteure/Newsletter_Anhaenge/156/Presseerklaerung_ILMR.pdf (17. 11. 2011), thecaravan.org/node/2401, www.thevoiceforum.org, and others.

Politically anything the Black African asylum seeker will do if they are not self critical enough and against racism, they would be considered as begging to stay in White Germany.

Germany in the Enslavement of Africans, the (Neo-)Colonization of Africa and Globalization

Before I continue it is important to point to the international structure just before the founding of the United Nations in 1948, the League of Nations. Its basic and only achievement was the 1926 ratification of the Convention on the Abolition of Slavery and Slave Trade¹⁹. Knowing that the abolition of slavery is not based on human equality because immediately after the abolition the same continued under a different name: (Neo-)Colonization. In Rosa Amelia Plummelle Ulrabe's work "Von der kolonialen Barbarei zur Vernichtungspolitik des Nationalsozialismus"²⁰, she points out that the transatlantic enslavement of Africans was the most gigantic deportation exercise in the history of human kind. With "Re-writing the footnotes – Berlin and the African Diaspora" Paulette Reed Anderson provides proof of involvement of German companies from Berlin and Brandenburg in the enslavement of Africans.

Because of the struggles of the enslaved Africans it is not enough to say that the League of Nations Convention on the Abolition of Slavery and Transatlantic Slave trade has seemingly been the only thing the League of Nations basically achieved before giving way to the United Nations in 1948. In the 1950ies and 60ies the African continent and parts of Asia like Vietnam, Australia, etc. where still being dominated by racist and colonial powers. This included the majority of countries of the Americas which gained their independence in the 19th century. It is described by Rosa Amelia that because they descended from the European adventurers, who frequently raped indigenous women, the ruling class of these countries believed and perceived themselves to be white²¹. These elites, who always identified themselves with their White ancestors, took control over their countries in the aftermath of the wars of independence. In fact, they even adopted the same methods of extermination of indigenous

¹⁹ Obryne, Darren J. 2002: Human Rights, An Introduction. Longman Publishers.

²⁰ Presented to the AfricAvenir Dialogforum Berlin, 15. June 2006.

²¹ Just like the Islamic Asia in the Black Egypt see: William, Chancellor. 1987. *The destruction of Black Civilization. Great issues of a arce from 1500 bc – 2000 ad. 1987.*Third World Press.

peoples inherited from colonial policy with a genocidal exercise of the killing practice of more than 80 million documented human beings. According to Rosa Amelia, the father of the Nazis Hermann Goering had been the first colonial governor in Africa to practice a racist extermination on countless millions of Black Africans. His child, Goering would later assist Hitler to implement the racist extermination practices during the Nazis era prior to Second World or European War of 1939. This way she illustrates in her book a family connection between German colonial racism in Africa and the racism of the national socialism of the Nazis against the Jews and everything non white or "Arian".

Before and since the era of the Second European War Africa has been struggling against colonial domination and achieved only short lived separation from the colonial domination, which aimed the annulation of Africans in order to annihilate them. Some African countries had struggled to remain officially part of the European Empires as a strategy against racism such as Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal, while many others preferred to be separated through independence as their strategy against racism and racial equality. The European Human Rights Convention was then established in 1949 under the pretext to protect Europe against the fascism of its citizens by creating the Council of Europe in the very same year.²² Southern hemisphere countries criticized the Geneva Convention for Refugees from 1951 to be strictly European based. The argument was that the European interpretation of the Geneva Convention was still racistly and colonially motivated. European countries did not take into account that they were involved in colonial crimes against humanity and rather claimed to do good. The Geneva Convention is based on the French Revolution (1789). This starting point ignores the role of black people within the very French Revolution. Even prior to the French Revolution African slaves have been fighting for their basic freedom and equality while being held captive under the "Code Noir". Thus the discourse of freedom should be the struggle of slaves for basic freedom and equality. Both the Organization of African Unity and other Latin American countries decided and agreed to address a wider definition due to European racism and the various international structures –

²² This is discussed more deeply in: Castle, Stephen and Davidson, Alastair. 2002. *Citizenship and Migration*. Routledge. p.172.

the UN in this case. They extended their definition of refugees to carry the wordings of “people fleeing external aggression, occupation, foreign domination or events seriously disturbing public order, flight from armed conflicts, generalized violence, massive human right violations or other circumstances which have disturbed public order. . .” (Organization of African Unity 1969 and the Cartagena Declaration on Refugees 1984 respectively). In other words they took into account external aggressions through colonial dominations and the kidnapping for enslavement by Europeans who took them in sailing ships and genocidal violence from European colonial settlers and settlements wiping out Black ethnic or racial groups from the surface of the earth. These colonial settlers cemented the bases and put the premises for the continuity of indirect rule from Europe by rejecting the basic and natural universal human rights demanded by the colonies. They rather continued preaching and claiming their European human rights for the whole world making it a modern day discourse for waging neo-expansionist wars and other mercenary benefits, when they failed in using their associates in the local communities or countries. By this I mean punch and Judi-shows called presidents, which happen to be dictators when the interest of Europe is in jeopardy. When natural resources are in danger natural human rights cease to exist.

It is important to recognize that the first asylum procedure law in Germany was institutionalized in 1949 parallel to its 1949 constitution when the country was founded in the aftermath of the Second European War, actually referred to as the Second World War. It is correct to talk about the Second European War because the legal definition of European always meant human meaning white; and every non white were considered sub-human legal subjects. The Africans at that time were considered to be European nationals and Africa considered to be an extended national territory, e.g. colonial Cameroon, colonial Namibia, colonial Togo etc., which made the people legal properties of the colonizing nation, but still not considered human. This legal pattern can still be found in European concepts and constructions of citizenship and nation states of today. Many countries of what is known to be the northern hemisphere or academically referred to as the North used e.g. the legal marker of asylum seeker were accountable for themselves only.

Since here in Germany or in Europe education does not like referring to the

racialist past, I will just draw attention to the fact that my understanding of the literal term migration as well as asylum always basically refers to so called White people who were described as migrating to the Americas (the formerly so-called new world) and as settlers in other parts all around the world. It is imperative to note that at this time, Black people of the world including inside Europe were all legally defined as inferior races and known to be a fraction of a complete human being.

One thing I know about racism is the genocidal campaign that led to the definition of racial persecution of Black people on the planet by others who considered themselves to be superior as human beings because they called themselves to be White. This genocidal campaign led to the establishment of the status quo today. The genocidal campaign for the continuous elimination of all Black people is still not acknowledged. Meanwhile the role of the other – who see themselves as white – in the elimination of the black people is still not acknowledged. They have cultured the education in defining other human beings as being inferior because of the color of their skin being non white. This genocidal campaign is still covertly going on under the discourse of migration in Germany. There is still denial over the structural realities that are connected to the question of asylum seekers and migration, which is not an identity but a legal issue in countries like Germany. The cultural identities are lost and redefined as a result of the legal power, shaping new racialized identities.

Thinking of others as inferior races or as modern colonial subjects, the government claims to be helping by locking people up in undeclared deportation centers (Ausreisezentrum), making peoples children stay unregistered indefinitely until they die or led these children be snatched and sold out into adoption for national working families who claim to be better parents to the children of the so-called poor (e.g. in case of so called “Kindeswohlgefährdung”).

The Question of (Forced) Choice and the Missing Truth about Black African History in Germany

I made this previous point because this means we have to look at the government’s legal definition. A migrant is one whom the government sees to

have a choice while a refugee and an asylum seeker is someone not seen to have a choice. According to the government the perspective of choice is very structural and that is why its own legislation obliges the very government to step in for the cases of people without a choice. Thus the government itself sees the question of no choice as a structural phenomenon, it sees persecution as a structural phenomenon. The strange point is rather that scholars or people making a discussion on migration allow themselves to be manipulated in discussing the fact that structurally there is a choice for everyone. Thereby they destroy what even the government in its discourse acknowledges. Yes there is the choice of death for some and life for others, but that is the only choice. With my explanations above I am trying to bring some light into how some structural phenomena established themselves to be permanently creating prejudice. One has to recognize that the current discourse on migration obscures the structural inevitability of no choice for refugees. The natural circumstances for refugees are not the same as for other migrants.²³ The paradox is that Asylum means getting protection from a state because you have been persecuted somewhere else for expressing your human rights, but then you are persecuted again in Germany by the police and administrators for being an asylum seeker under the disguise of the law. Migrants are like the host country nationals in a class position where they technically have social rights and choices that they can effort for, like going to school, founding a family, freedom of movement, earning money, working, and many more. But the asylum seeker has none of these, expect the imposed choice of deportation or the political choice to die or to life underground in Germany, which the migration discourse labels illegal. The refugee being forced underground, can only resolve in continuous self organization or die in isolation and the government knows about it.

Therefore I will like to answer the question of why migration in a German context cannot be studied without reproducing prejudice. In order to go beyond the prejudices one must not separate the structures in Germany from structures overseas. But one must see how deep they are manipulated to appear separable. One may not overlook the development of racial ideologies after the abolition of slavery. Today's conditions are in many ways equally restrictive and inhuman as slavery itself. The African continent after being

²³ See on this Article 6 of the Geneva Convention on the term „In the same circumstances“.

completely destructed by invaders these had their administrative structures set up. This has happened without any voting or participation rights to develop Africa as a European emigration scheme and finally a European property and occupation up to today through military forces or the corruption of African sovereigns.

It is generally believed that while slavery has been noted to have begun in America in the 17th Century after the over powering of Africans by invaders, Europe was hardly involved and Germany even less. But the role of German companies in the slavery system must not be over looked. Much if this history has been hidden and deliberately destroyed but one example is on June 11, 1696 the German State archives reports what happened to the many enslaved African Blacks who were kidnapped including their sucklings, but it is as usual not being dealt with in the curricula. We thank Paulette Reed-Anderson and many others for bringing elements of our heritage embedded in the state archives of Germany to light.²⁴ The case of Egypt fighting Germany to return the 3500 year old queen known to be queen Nefretiti, a wife of Pharaoh Akhenaten, a case of blundering till this day shows how black history is being made white, it is made part of German identity. This is now finally being questioned by the Egyptian government requesting it back. At the same time Dafur in Sudan is carrying more pyramids than modern day Egypt, which is more known for it because the tourism business industry. This is just one more example of misleading pejorative clichés.

To study any concept of migration can only happen without prejudice when the structural history both on the contemporary side and the academic and historical side is not approached with any form of bias especially racial bias as this cuts through all aspects of society, be it religion or otherwise. In consequence this means it is de facto not possible. The academia in Germany likewise its approaches have been based on counterfeiting. Racist stereotyping of the origins of academic sources feed the general racism of society and keeps the vast majority in a state of ignorance of their structures and of themselves.

²⁴ Reed-Anderson, Paulette. 2000. *Rewriting the foot notes. Berlin and the African Diaspora*. Der Beauftragte des Senats von Berlin für Integration und Migration, pp. 9–17.

Black African History in Germany and the Escapism Discourse by so-called People of Colour and Whites

Talking about migration without first talking about the African history, the history of the so-called Black people (so-called because of the racist ideology towards them) is biased. You cannot talk about migration without talking about the history of the so called Black people before Germany was founded, the history of Black people when Germany was founded, the history of Black people after Germany has been founded or socially constructed, the history of Black people in Germany while Germany existed. In fact the same goes for Europe or any place else.

You cannot understand migration without bias when you do not understand the history of complete destruction of entire Africa. When you do not and are not able to accept the history of so called Black people here in Germany, their history before Germany, their history in the founding of Germany and their history in Germany today and their history after Germany in the future will no longer be. You would rather understand the perspective of Germany and Europe over Africa only when you are unable to know that history of the so-called Black gentiles, Black Christians, Black Muslims, Black Jews, and all other Blacks from their different worlds of life in Germany. Germany has mostly succeeded in blocking Black history. The German racism through Europe is to wipe out this existing Black history and the black people out of the surface of this earth. That is why they are for example still called Niggers, and dehumanization leads to extinction.

Also the Blacks who are in Germany have no structure for their history, they think in the same eurocentrism. They are struggling for their structure in fighting racism. The remaining Black African history is wiped out of the surface of this earth by German racism and the racism of others including Black Eurocentristic thinking. Therefore one has to keep in mind that even the mentality and attitude of the Blacks needs to change in order for them to achieve their freedom. A true German national, even a Jew, a Moslem, a Christian, an Arab cannot be seen to have black skin. Germany does not refer to the part of its African history in Germany, it is always alienated out of the national-geographic borders.

The black African is connected to all other Blacks, but these Blacks do not

want to feel the connection to the black African, because they think it will increase their pain, the effect of racial discrimination on themselves. So they use an escapism discourse, they call themselves People of Color to escape racial discrimination. They fear to be deported by white Germany. Claiming to be 'people of color' means to put yourself higher on a racial scale than the black African and accepting that you are positioned lower than a white European. But the fight remains between black and white. Furthermore those with black parents are educated to see their black parents as the origin for their problems for example when school books call them "Negerkind".²⁵ These parents, especially the Black, must be politically awakened.

Personally it is my belief that if I am not able to see the history of the so-called Black people here, I will mislead you if I would talk to you about migration beyond any cliché. For most of what has been thought since and before the founding of the UN has structurally re-shaped history, this gets those who learn to speak about this, to speak of their lands on which they are as estranged lands or extended states of Europe. The experiences of global propaganda which has been internalized in the hearts of everybody, expressing so-called dark skinned humans being inferior, uncivilized, cannibals, monkeys living on trees, justified a colonization era with complete destruction and which created a continuity known as neocolonialism or migration. This has been in the name of modern civilization and development in which migration has its recolonizing role. New vocabularies were also developed like 'underdeveloped', 'developing' or 'third world' against local domestic appellations relating to human liberty, freedom, equality, against the doctrine of racial domination trying to replace other words of the imperialist colonialist languages that were and are racially stigmatizing. Within Germany Blacks allow themselves because of their social liabilities that the government will create agencies or NGOs to speak on behalf of black Africans when they have no politically liberation position. They allow themselves to be used by not criticizing the term migration to be racist.²⁶ White escapism is used to flee responsibility for perpetrating racial discrimina-

²⁵ Arndt, Susan; Antje Hornscheidt and Marlene Bauer (ed.) 2004. *Afrika und die Deutsche Sprache*. Unrast Verlag.

²⁶ E.g. the Migrationsrat Berlin Brandenburg e.V. organizes Black African Organizations on behalf of the state through the Senate of Berlin – one example is the process of the Landesaktionsplan gegen Rassismus und ethnische Diskriminierung 2010–2011.

tion. Whites say they cannot feel the way blacks feel because they cannot have that experience. This is exactly the statement they get from the academia, which in effect makes them not feel guilty of all that suffering, inhumanity, and havoc being perpetrated in the world through racism by form of racial domination and by racial discrimination. For what is being done to black people through racial discrimination, whites or anybody else would not want the reverse to be done to them. They must therefore know that they understood what they do and also the effect of what they do because they would not want the reverse to happen to them. "You cannot produce a medicine in the laboratory and not know it's side effects" a friend of mine said. Racism is therefore medicine and it's side effects are well known by perpetrators. Racial discrimination and its structures, which means racism, led to continuing dependencies of national and international structures that have created colonial states and districts and are still under imperial powers. Therefore white people know the side effect of the plight of those people under racial discrimination. Blacks have never used racial discrimination to produce those national and international structures. It has been forced on them. White people have simply never been enslaved and slaughtered by black people, which is why the latter are not in the power position to be racist because they have not created the racist structures. Blacks may however reproduce pejorative clichés that can bring about different ways of discrimination, but they are not in a racist position. Nevertheless, one way to deal with this or overcome this is for people to be able to sit down and discuss including how they feel with one another, without fear of the other as an equal.

Generally it is tradition that when a white person would say in Germany to a non white person, "where do you come from?" due to the racial experience even when not intended means racism. In other words this is to say „Nigger(s) go find your roots!“ That is what the government and it's laws and the new migration discourse that do not provide legal racial equality to black people is saying to all of them. Since the roots of the black people have been destroyed and the blacks, colonised by racism over the destruction of their roots, this question even when answered, still remains unanswered for the white man or woman who asked it. Since the answer is never satisfactory, the police (or Polizei) is called in to get rid of this unwanted black species (or race).

The earlier mentioned case of the birth of a Lilly white, blue eyed and ultra blond haired baby by Black African N_Word Parents happens to be one of the living proofs of how much black roots have been destroyed here in Germany, in Europe and overseas. Rarely did German newspapers make news about. Sweeping it under the news carpet.

The Academia Racism and the Black History Paradox

You cannot talk about Europe and separate the so-called Black people. In fact as far as academia is concerned, there is no academic source or reference that is rooted in Europe. All came from Africa and Asia. The Humboldt University talks about this itself in an exhibition of the world's first and largest library ever, being in Alexandria (in Africa) several hundred years before the known Jesus Christ was born and not forgetting how ancient Egypt fell into the hands of the Arab enslavers' Africa.²⁷ This has helped to eliminate some of the state and academic built prejudices about literacy and illiteracy on the geographic continental entity known to be Africa following racist clichés and images.

Furthermore just as many others such as Malik Shabazz, known also as Malcolm X, mentioned, neither did any religious prophet come from Europe. But they all came from Africa and Asia. As far as I know all so called philosophers of Europe have been racists, and they have been working severely hard to maintain racial stereotypes and pejorative clichés. Never will they talk to you about the Black African counter parts right there working with them or before them. Just like the 18th century human rights academic work in Germany of the Black African Dr. Anton Wilhelm Amo on the rights of Black Africans, which disappeared for a long time, which are still missing according to the University of Wittenberg.²⁸ There is a lot of work to be done to answer how this could happen, when Germany is known to have such a immaculate system of archiving.

Even a list of books written by Black authors and burned by the Nazis Regime in 1938 is still missing until today. Many important questions are also raised as we examine for example the writings titled 'Der Afrikaner

²⁷ In: HUCH 2008 – Zeitung der studentischen Selbstverwaltung No. 57, p. 12

²⁸ See pp. 289–290 of this article.

im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch. Untersuchungen zur rassistischen Stereotypenbildung im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch von der Aufklärung bis zum Nationalsozialismus' [the African in German Children and Youth books, Examination of racist stereotyping in German youth writing from Enlightenment to National Socialism]²⁹. In his 30 year research resulting in the book "Germany's Black Holocaust 1890–1945: The Untold Truth! Details Never Revealed Before" (June 2003), Firpo W. Carr for example reveals the hidden history of Black victims of the Holocaust. Carr is referred to have conducted three revealing interviews with: "(1) a Black female Holocaust victim; (2) the Black commanding officer who liberated 8 000 Black men from a concentration camp; and (3) an African American medic from the all-Black medical unit that was responsible for retrieving thousands of dead bodies from Dachau. (White medical units were spared the gruesome task.) Also 'Kay' the Black female Holocaust survivor, laments: 'You cannot possibly comprehend the anger I have in me because of being experimented on in Dachau, and being called 'nigger girl' and 'blacky' while growing up.'" (Carr 2003) Furthermore Carr dwells into the 1890 Blacks who were tortured in German concentration camps in South-West Africa (now called Namibia) when Adolf Hitler was only a child. "Colonial German doctors conducted unspeakable medical experiments on these emaciated helpless Africans decades before such atrocities were ever visited upon the Jews." According to Carr, "thousands of Africans were massacred. Regrettably, historians neglected to properly register the slaughter – that is, to lift it from the footnote in history that it had been relegated to – up to now". At the end this is just one more example of how the states fuels racism and leaves African history undignified. However at his point it will be senseless to think it should be the government to do this, it should be the Black Africans task, but they are too scared, too hungry, too brain washed and not willing to pay the real prize. Often there is furthermore a lack of honesty amongst black people about them being dehumanized and thereby they are contributing themselves to further dehumanization.

²⁹ Bergner, Gottfried and Ansgar Häfner. 1985: *Der Afrikaner im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch. Untersuchungen zur rassistischen Stereotypenbildung im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch von der Aufklärung bis zum Nationalsozialismus*. Eine Ausstellung im Rahmen der 11. Kinder- und Jugendbuchmesse aus den Beständen der Universitätsbibliothek Oldenburg aus Privatbesitz und Sammlungen, Oldenburg 1985.

Citizenship or Human Being and the German Notion of Minority

Before we are able to understand the above it is important to point out that the establishment in Germany believes in blood ancestry in the manner of a political ideology. Its *Ausländer-Raus*-mentality goes as far as to not accept the claim for the protection of minorities by the UN. This must be implied in the German states responds to the UN Covenant on Civil and Political Right, Article 27 on minority rights, which goes as follows (p. 99): “The Federal Republic of Germany presumes that article 27 of the Covenant does not contain the right of further protected minorities also to be recognized as national minorities. It refers here to the material of the covenant (Traveau preparatoire) and the final report dated 1st July 1955 (A/2929) stating: The provisions concerning the right of minorities it was understood should not be applied in such a manner as to encourage the creation of new minorities or to obstruct the process of assimilation. It was felt that such tendencies could be dangerous for the unity of the state.”³⁰ The German state position is thus that state minorities do defacto not exist. If they existed they should not be recognized because they are (only) immigrants. They do not recognize black Africans. They do not recognize Black Jews, and considering (white) Jews as an assimilated group, not in the category of minority. According to this report Germany only recognizes white groups to be constructed minorities.³¹ This is the key to state philosophy. My point here is that blacks cannot be seen as an assimilated group, because they cannot fit the whiteness. In consequence they are seen as dangerous for the unity of the state. Until 1955 Germany presumed that Black Africans existing in Germany at that time did not even make a minority group, because they have not been recognizing their existence even. And now, even as they live on, they cannot make a minority group, but instead they are seen as a threat to national unity. This is how Germany denies racism and denies the rights of minorities within the German territory. Even after the Holocaust the state philosophy in Germany is still on the

³⁰ See: [http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/\(Symbol\)/e49b2934cb78b68680256554005f0374?Opendocument](http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/(Symbol)/e49b2934cb78b68680256554005f0374?Opendocument) (April 2011).

³¹ Through this state report Germany was embarrassed for being accused of exercising racial discrimination by the UN, which resulted in the recognition of the Roma and Sinti as a minority by creating the group of German Roma and Sinti to satisfy the committees demands. This is the only recognizable attempt by Germany to recognize a Black skin group as minority so far.

premise of non-recognition of the other – of minority groups – who in my view should simply be seen as human being individually or in a group. The Genocide (covertly as well as overtly) is still continuing because it has always been at the centre of bureaucracy to eliminate the administrative reference sources of non white groups or persons within the territorial administration of Germany. This issue is also illustrated and discussed by Zygmunt Bauman in “Modernity and the Holocaust”, where he goes deeply into the mechanisms working here. My question here is: Is the white dominant group in Germany the only non immigrant group, because any other non-white group is supposed to have been killed in the Genocide? But meanwhile we know that white Nazis themselves are and were immigrants themselves.

The German constitution and its laws of citizens meaning all national laws, including *Ausländergesetz*, are still not able to tell us who was the first German respectively statutorily define who the first German was. Is it because they fear the first German was black, or that early Europe is known to have believed in black Gods such as the God Iris³²? This fear has led to the so-called German and the incapability to differentiate between human being and citizen. The human being status cannot be given or taken by a state or government whereas citizenship is a right that is given and can be taken by a state to its citizens. Being a citizen however, does not mean human. Due to racism, the German society does not make this difference because the law will identify a person as a citizen and not as a human being. So it is up to you to choose. The law does not define the human being, there is no juridical definition of a human being. So being *Bürger* (citizen) or *Ausländer* (foreigner or alien) does not legally give you the position of a human being. The sole reason the law is failing to define a human being is that the state considers itself first as a racist establishment permanently but intact to deny all history of the so-called Black people right here, socially, culturally, economically, environmentally, politically and otherwise until they are extinct. The only way out is for the black people to have structures on their own.

BUT NOW, WHAT MUST WE DO? As long as we are waiting, we are wasting our time.

³² Setima, Ivan. 1986. *African presence in Early Europe*. Transaction Publishers.

The so-called Black community people need to clean out the “whitenesses” in themselves in order to believe in themselves. Putting aside their own white racist images with stereotypes of themselves and colonialist deformative clichés, they have to seek the proper attitude and psyche or psychology to organize their own schools, teach themselves about their achievements and contributions, their exploitations in Germany, institute their own colleges and universities, initiate their own things. Because having all those titles like academic and others is not enough without having structures of their own. Creating a space of their own where they can instill themselves to know about their history and themselves and to get prepared to deal with the situation at hand and to develop the political maturity necessary. Through this way for instance the Critical Black African, who for example is put at the bottom of the racist cliché chain or measuring stick, will realize they are not just good but better than the racists and their thinkers and followers of their white supremacism (which at times involves the black bourgeoisie).

We must make clear that words like “Ausländer”, migrants, illegal, and many more remain a racist incitement of the people (Volksverhetzung). As long as the German establishment teaches complete denial of so-called Black people’s history and denies them the human status. It pretends to be giving them political equality when human blood is not equal as far as hidden administrative policies are concerned. This means practicing racial oppression at all levels of life with denial of historical records of presence right here. With the consciousness of these facts people can be up for a new beginning.

Politicians and people in power have demonstrated that they are thieves of our human rights. When you catch thieves, you accuse them for stealing, judge them for what they have stolen, make sure there is compensation, and make them to declare never to steal again. This is what we are going to do with the Federal Republic of Germany and the European Union which has extended its bloody jaws of the Fortress Europe.

This brings us to the last point of understanding: as we look at one example of a Black African who taught Human Rights in the 18th century in Germany referred to above already. As a challenging concept to migration, looking at the life of A. W. Amo, the question comes to mind: Was he a German when

he lived in Germany even before Germany became a united state? Anton Wilhelm Amo (ca. 1703–1757) was a young child-slave brought to what is now Germany in the stomach of a slave-ship and studied and taught Human Rights in Halle, Germany. Halle, in 1729, was regarded as one of the capitals of the Enlightenment (Aufklärung). He made the first dissertation on Human Rights during the early 18th century. At the height of Europe's "Enlightenment" he published 'The Rights of the Moors in Europe'³³. According to Paulette Reed-Anderson's "Berlin and the African Diaspora", in German, the word "Mohr" did not just mean "Moor" but was used to describe dark-skinned Africans. Referring to Paulin J. Hountondjia, the original manuscript of his first work is titled "Dissertatio Inauguralis De Jure Maurorum in Europa" (1729), and concerns the rights of Africans in Europe³⁴. It is lost but there is a brief summary of it in the annals of the University of Halle of 2nd of November 1729. Amo's "inaugural dissertation", which was directly related to him being an African, earned him candidature in both private and public law. He argued that African kings, like their European counterparts, had been vassals of Rome. By the slave trade Europeans were violating the common heritage of Roman law, the principle that all the Roman citizens were free, including those who lived in Africa.

Following this there is a new question to be asked: Are Africans Europeans? Are the so-called Europeans willing and able to regard the so-called Black Africans as a legally equal and human? The racist view rather wants Africans to see Europeans as masters or higher humans compared to black Africans. Dr. Amos work, published in 1729, was written and made public even before the declaration of the American independence (1776), the "Rights of Man" in France (1789) and even before the Philosopher Hegel was born (1770). Amo thus did his work more than 30 years before the first rights of the man have been publicly declared, but nevertheless his work is, compared to the others, never referred to and has been buried under the racist structures of white Eurocentrism. Could his work maybe have been used but not been referred to for the color of his skin was black and he a negro?

³³ Referring to Paulin J. Hountondjia in *African Philosophy*, p. 116, the original manuscript of his first work, "Dissertatio Inauguralis De Jure Maurorum in Europa" (1729), which concerned the rights of Africans in Europe, is lost but there is a brief summary of it in the annals of the University of Halle of 2nd of November 1729.

³⁴ Ibid., p. 116

Undocumented People and Self-Determination: Struggle for Humanity and Equal Treatment inside Racism in Germany

The consequences of such a neglect of humanity by undermining the human rights have further been observed in the treatment of asylum seekers who have no freedom of movement in Germany since 1982, when the Residenzpflicht was introduced. The county borders are closed to refugees by the police, the enforcement by heavy fines and imprisonment and sometimes deportation leads to criminalization and to negative consequences on personal development and mental sanity. In consequence even registered asylum seekers become undocumented. Germany is the only European Country having such a law.³⁵ Considered a political apartheid against asylum seekers, it is feared to be picked up by other European countries as a deterrent to refugees. It is now reported to have been a 1938 Nazi legislation.³⁶ By internal administrative directives and guidelines even permanent residents have been criminalized before 2005 in a similar manner, when residence permissions could be withdrawn by police and administration for simply believing that the resident had left the country for more than six months. This resulted in people's undocumented, criminalization and in the worst case deportation.³⁷

On 30th of April 1992 Konrad Weiss argued in a plea in front of the German parliament to preserve the right of asylum. Commenting the plan by German politicians to modify the constitutional right of asylum in May 1993, he expressed his concern that it was deliberately created as an individual fundamental right not subject to any restriction. He stated that any restriction would only make matters worse, increase xenophobic tendencies, bring back the experiences of racial and political persecutions. There was the warning of Germany and Europe increasingly becoming a fortress if the current policy

³⁵ According to Martin Kreickenbaum: They Were in Search of Life. Suicide: the Consequences of German Deportation Policies. An indictment of Germany's refugee policy. 1st November 2004, p.4. <http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/nov2004/bkrv-n01.shtml> (April 2011).

³⁶ Stoffels, Michael 2002, pp.159–163. <http://www.grundrechte-report.de/2002/inhalt/de...assistische-auflage-fuer-auslaender> (January 2011).

³⁷ See for example: <http://www.muenchen.de/Rathaus/kvr/ausland/erltitel/117823/index.html> (April 2011).

against immigration continued.³⁸

According to a map of the Fortress Europe by Philippe Rekacewicz excluding deaths at the shore lines you observe Germany is having a greater number of death spots resulting from suicide cases, lack of care or from racist incidents with more deaths from the police located inside the land compared to the shore.³⁹ The Consequences of German police action causing this is well documented by the Anti Racist Initiative in Berlin on German asylum policy and their deadly consequences.⁴⁰

Deportation as the Dignity of the constitution!

Since 1993 the situation has deteriorated, refugee deportation camps have been erected, outright Nazis have achieved political significance especially in regional parliaments. The Darmstaedter Echo regional newspaper has reported that 13 million Euros were being spent to build a deportation camp to accommodate a number of 100 refugees in the district of Rhein Main Germany excluding a monthly maintenance of 125 000 Euros⁴¹. Thus deportations are by no means as economic as the government claims, since already the costs of the camp exceeds the amount of an hypothetical social benefit these refugees would need to live of (in case needed) for the rest of their lives.

The deteriorating situation since 1993 has furthermore encouraged existing Nazi party activists and their followers to run and vote for governments at federal German parliaments. On the 24th of May 2004 the German newspaper Junge Welt (Nr. 117) reported that the elite Nazi judge Hans Filbinger was made special commission voting member of Baden-Württemberg for the voting of the German federal president. This is a sign of normalizing the fatal consequences that arise from Nazi oriented policies and it's effects on society, which is not new to us. Extremist parties exploit German anxiety⁴², Neo-Nazis advocating racism, xenophobia and anti-Semitic propaganda were voted into regional state parliaments. The anthropological descend of race is still done today when Neo-Nazis achieve high positions in politics. Unfortunately, when

³⁸ Statewatching the new Europe. A handbook on the European state. 1993. Edited by Tony Bunyan, p. 136. Speech by Konrad Weiss: http://www.bln.de/k.weiss/tx_asyl.html (January 2011).

³⁹ publish by le monde diplomatique Nr. 3, March 2004.

⁴⁰ See: www.berlinet.de/ari/titel.htm (January 2011).

⁴¹ Echo Newspaper, "Neue Unterkunft für Flüchtlinge" Friday 7th June 2002 p. 4.

⁴² According to a report by Ian Traynor, published in The Guardian, Monday September 20th, 2004 p. 12.

the government claimed they were trying to ban the NPD – the far right party officially seen to be racist –, it resulted in a fiasco as the German secret service colluded with the far right, now being present in the regional parliament in Saxony⁴³. On June 29th, 2004, the European Council accused the German government of racism and intolerance of people.⁴⁴ This was preceded by an Amnesty International report on January 14th 2004, criticizing institutional racism and police brutality mainly directed against Black people.⁴⁵ With such situation were nationals as well as European institutions are fighting the Nazis, for people below the strata of the status quo like the migrant workers and asylum seekers or refugees this only results in anyone being able to do with them what they want.

Knowing that since 1973 Germany introduced a labor migration ban for non-EU citizens, the German Government decided in August 2000 that the ban was still a valid law. After nearly 30 years of banning immigration, the attempts to establish a so called Green Card ended up in another fiasco. An Indonesian labor migrant became “a symbol of the government’s commitment to relaxing immigration, the migrant’s patience ran out. The green card program is a good story for my grandchildren, but it didn’t really help professionally. I just can’t see myself getting the permanent residency. And I don’t want to find myself escorted off to the airport one day.” – As happened to a fellow university student whose permit had expired.⁴⁶

Germans get Asylum fleeing Institutionalized Neo-Nazism and Police Racism!

German nationals started to seek asylum overseas because of Neo-Nazi and police racist persecution. To talk about migration in a country where human beings are locked up in camps is criminal and therefore this talk is one example of racism itself. We want to address the topic how the impression is created

⁴³ See: Statewatch, January–February 2002 Vol. 12 no. 1.

⁴⁴ Griesser, Patrick : Europa beklagt Rassismus in Deutschland, in: TAZ pp. 1 and 6, Nr. 7378, 24. Woche 26. Jahrgang.

⁴⁵ Amnesty International, Germany. 2004. *Germany Back in the Spot light. Allegations of Police Ill-treatment and Excessive Use of Force in Germany.* <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/docid/402f88744.html> (9 February 2011).

⁴⁶ International Herald Tribune – Inside F.A.Z. Weekly 'Fortress Europe' keep doors barred: But resisting immigrants has a price. Pages 1–2 authored by Katrin Bennhold/Statewatch. March-April 2004, Vol. 14 no. 2 p. 6.

that every human person was free to move meanwhile they are not because of their legal category. It is in fact criminal of anyone to do so and therefore this is one more example of racism. The effect of racism on some German nationals caused them to flee, seeking asylum from state organized racism. This is viewed further more from the fact that on Wednesday, September 22nd, 2004 in a 3–0 ruling, a Federal Appeals court in San Francisco (USA) allowed political asylum in the United States to German citizens. They were fleeing Neo-Nazi persecution in Germany, which in the opinion of the court, “the German government is more unwilling than unable to prevent”. This sanctioning of persecution in a Western European country is not usual since the majority of asylum cases are rather from different parts of the world.⁴⁷ As I said above, the category ‘Flüchtlinge’ meaning refugee has been established as a category by some White liberal and racist bureaucrats. They used the term in an attempt to mobilize the world against blacks, stating that people in Europe where human beings but still held on to colonies and racial apartheid in other continents because others were inferior human races. These white liberals and racist bureaucrats then went ahead in teaching all these so called inferior races to believe they were today respected as human beings, meanwhile the agenda of racism has been premised to eliminate all the Black people of the earth as unwanted species for the advancement of civilization – White civilization.

The only way I see is to end this dishonesty. The racial idea existing in the European or White folks implies inferior and superior races. This must first be acknowledged. Secondly, this underlying racial concept crosscuts all the classes, the mode and system of production. It also crosscuts gender, religion and structural politics. We must admit therefore this racial concept is the first thing that comes to mind or that is implied through teaching in all European schools especially whenever people talk about migration.

⁴⁷ Report “Court allows political asylum from Germany. Afghan fled Soviets first, then neo-Nazis” by Bob Egelko, in: San Francisco Chronicle, Thursday, September 23, 2004, p. A-9. <http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2004/09/23/MNGN78TIL71.DTL> (April 2011).

Racism and the Resolving of Undocumentation: the Demand for a Police and Neo-Nazi so-called Free Bundesland – Otherwise known as “Rechtsfreiraum”

The Residenzpflicht inside Germany is only one example of how Germany still has a complete (post) colonial structure. European means economic, political and cultural domination by Europeans of others through successful colonialism. More than 300 districts exist under the Residenzpflicht as little satellite states with foreign offices to control all non nationals, coordinating their restriction of movement. Therefore, the only possibility I see is to demand a police free state. The problem these people have is state driven and police enforced. When asylum seekers state that they are in Germany because Germany destroys their homelands or their countries, Germany and the EU and its allies still mobilize to send in more troops into these countries despite their so called independence. The land is destroyed by, for example, the Bundeswehr, and the police destroy peoples' lives, while politicians lie to the public that is paying taxes to them. Therefore the next rational thing will be that refugees are justified to demand their land – a police free Bundesland in Germany, since refugees, undocumented people or trafficked people are only a balance sheet of German domination. This demand has to be put forward so that the public understands that the people do not need the German army in their land or divide parts of Germany since Germany is occupying their countries in ownership continuously. Besides, the Germany as a country is already divided with the 'national befreite Zone' or so called No-Go-Areas, which is acknowledged by the interior ministry, thus the country is already divided. We know you can never have a nazi organization that brings about a 'national befreite Zone' without police permission, just like it is not possible to have freedom of movement without police permission or to have murder without police permission, like Oury Jalloh burned in a police station, or to have negative justice without police permission, like the slaughtering of the Egyptian woman Marwa el-Sherbini in a German court⁴⁸. The demand for a police free Bundesland can be achieved through self-organization, just like individually committed people

⁴⁸ She was stabbed 18 times in a court room in Germany in front of her three year old son in 2009 by a racist neighbour after she had complained against him in court for insulting her terrorist. Source: „Outrage over Muslim woman killed in court“, in: The Guardian, 7th July 2009. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/jul/07/muslim-woman-shot-germany-court> (February 2011).

saving us in their Kellers. The self organizations play the major role and have already achieved to provide the German public with the best information resources they could ever find in contrast to the propaganda of the government.

There are many bases for working together. For me it is necessary to clear up some historical facts: who is the victimizer, who is common to all of us, who is organized in an ideologically structure to split us. Further the common understanding that people become refugees and migrants because Germany is one of the countries destroying their previously owned homelands, it will necessarily be in the face of apartheid laws like the Residenzpflicht and the Neo-Nazi and police brutalities that destruction of these will continue and should result to a new political demand of a police free Bundesland in return of the German occupation and destruction of the lands. Refugees and Migrants have no place else to go. The education must not lie to promote racist stereotypes. In order to have “possibilities of sharing” in the context of cooperation with other solidarity groups, space for political enfranchisement of undocumented people in this country is needed.

Generally no liberation struggle, be it the so-called anti-racism, nor antifascism, anti(neo)colonialism and imperialism, etc. can survive or make the struggle to equality, justice and freedom for humanity if they do not connect to anti-racism against blacks. By blacks I mean blacks with the interest of the collective for a real solution at heart and not the black exploitative bourgeoisie. Every liberation is bound up with anti-racism and should be able to answer this relation. Groups or organisations without this will be unable to understand their own self or social repression as they will seek to rather dominate the wretch of the earth – the Blacks in the way authored by Frantz Fanon. Furthermore so called black folks no matter how few they may be must achieve their own international and human right system and land(s) of their own, with no foreign interference, for themselves, to overcome their specific problem brought about by racism and root destruction. Only then could there be a glimpse of some kind of respect and treatment as human beings on earth for blacks being able to be on their own. Any deterrence going against racism to achieve this will be truly useful.

Literature:

- Amnesty International. 2004. *Germany. 2004. Germany Back in the Spot light. Allegations of Police Ill-treatment and Excessive Use of Force in Germany*. <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/docid/402f88744.html> (February 9, 2011).
- Arndt, Susan; Antje Hornscheidt and Marlene Bauer (ed.). 2004. *Afrika und die Deutsche Sprache*. Unrast Verlag.
- Bergner, Gottfried and Ansgar Häfner. 1985. *Der Afrikaner im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch. Untersuchungen zur rassistischen Stereotypenbildung im deutschen Kinder- und Jugendbuch von der Aufklärung bis zum Nationalsozialismus*. Broschüre zur Ausstellung im Rahmen der 11. Kinder- und Jugendbuchmesse aus den Beständen der Universitätsbibliothek Oldenburg aus Privatbesitz und Sammlungen. Oldenburg 1989.
- Castle, Stephen and Davidson, Alastair. 2002. *Citizenship and Migration*. Routledge.
- Fleischer, Annett. 2007. *Familienbildungsprozesse als Möglichkeit der Legalisierung: Zur Situation Kameruner MigrantInnen in Deutschland*. MPIDR Working Paper WP-2007-011.
- Hasib, Thacmoh. 2005. *Power Writers and the Struggle Against Slavery*. Hertfort.
- Kilomba, Grada. 2010. *Plantation Memories*. Unrast Verlag, Hamburg.
- Ntep, Matthias Vaictorien 2007/2008. *Black Author Cries Foul*. In: The African Courier No. 59, Vol. 10.
- Obyrne, Darren J.. 2002. *Human Rights, An Introduction*. Longman Publishers.
- Reed-Anderson, Paulette. 2000. *Re-writing the foot notes. Berlin and the African Diaspora*. Der Beauftragte des Senats von Berlin für Integration und Migration.
- Schönbohm, Jörg. 2009. *Politische Korrektheit – Das Schlachtfeld der Tugendwächter*. Manuscriptum, Leipzig.
- Setima, Ivan. 1986. *African presence in Early Europe*. Transaction Publishers.
- Stoffels, Michael 2002. *Die „Residenzpflicht“ – eine rassistische Auflage für Ausländer*. In: Grundrechte-Report 2002. Zur Lage der Bürger- und Menschenrechte in Deutschland. Till Müller-Heidelberg u. a. (Hg.). Hamburg, pp.159–163. <http://www.grundrechte-report.de/2002/inhalt/details/back/inhalt-2002/article/die-residenzpflicht-eine-rassistische-auflage-fuer-auslaender> (January 2011).
- Williams, Chancellor. 1987. *The destruction of Black Civilization. Great issues of a race from 1500 bc–2000 ad*. Third World Press.

Der gesamte Band ist abzurufen unter <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:kobv:11-100199292>.